SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Author: <u>Haynes</u>	Analyst:	Jeani B	cent	Bill Nu	ımber: SB	1725	
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845-6</u>	158	Amended Date:	05-08-2	000	
	Attorney:	Patrick	Kusiak	Sponsor:			
SUBJECT: Exclusion/Survivor And	nuities	Received	l from Re	etirement fr	om Armed	Forces	
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS AG introduced/amended X AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENU AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLV	E. A new r	revenue estima	ate is provide	d.	·		
amended FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.							
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO							
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED <u>04-06-2000</u> STILL APPLIES.							
X OTHER - See comments below.							
SUMMARY OF BILL							
Under the Personal Income Tax Law, this bill would exclude from California gross income all federal military retirement benefits or payments received under a federal Survivor Benefit Plan, the Retired Serviceman's Family Protection Plan and the Supplemental Survivor Benefit Plan. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The May 8, 2000, amendments deleted the bill's prior language regarding the exclusion of the first \$20,000 of military retirement pay and certain legislative findings and added the language discussed in this analysis. The amendments described above resolve the policy and implementation consideration in the department's prior analysis. The remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as amended April 6, 2000, still applies. The							
new revenue estimate is provided below.							
TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS							
The department understands from the author's staff that the bill, as amended May 8, 2000, is intended to provide an exclusion only for those benefits and payments received under the three specified plans and not for regular federal military retirement benefits. The wording of the bill is unclear and may not effect this intent. The attached amendment would clarify the language to achieve the intent.							
Board Position: S NA SA O N OUA	=	NP NAR X PEND	ING	Legislative Direction Johnnie Lou Ro		Date 5/25/00	

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\SB 1725 05-08-00 SA0F.DOC 06/02/00 12:14 PM

Senate Bill 1725 (Haynes) Amended May 8,2000 Page 2

TAX REVENUE ESTIMATE

This revenue estimate is based on the department's understanding of the intent of this bill, as discussed in Technical Considerations, above.

Based on data and assumptions discussed below, the following revenue losses are projected to result from this bill:

Estimated Revenue Impact of SB 1725						
As Amended May 8, 2000						
Assumed Effective From 1/1/00 With Enactment After 6/30/00						
(In \$Millions)						
Fiscal Years	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03			
Revenue Impact (Rounded)	(\$17)	(\$14)	(\$14)			

Any possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that might result from this provision are not taken into account.

TAX REVENUE DISCUSSION

Revenue losses would depend on the amount of qualified military survival annuity payments received in any given year for which state income taxes would otherwise be incurred.

According to available data from the U.S. Department of Defense, the amount of survivor annuities paid from specified federal retirement plans to California beneficiaries was over \$276 million in 1999. This amount was indexed by 3% annually to project the qualified payments for year 2000 and years thereafter.

This total amount was reduced 15% to allow for:

- current law exclusions for certain veterans' compensation; and
- recipients not incurring state income taxes.

A 5.5% average marginal tax rate was applied to estimate the state revenue loss (on the order of \$13 million for the 2000 taxable year).

The 2000/01 fiscal impact reflects all 2000 losses plus 25% of the year 2001 losses to allow for reduced estimated tax payments.

BOARD POSITION

Pending.

Analyst Jeani Brent
Telephone # 845-3410
Attorney Patrick Kusiak

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SB 1725 As Amended May 8, 2000

AMENDMENT 1

On page 2, modify lines 22 and 23, as follows:

all federal military retirement benefits $\underline{\text{received under}}$ or payments received under a federal Retired Serviceman's